AUTHOR QUERY FORM

ELSEVIER	Journal: COMPNW	Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:		
	Article Number: 4137	E-mail: corrections.esch@elsevier.sps.co.in Fax: +31 2048 52799		

Dear Author,

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Articles in Special Issues: Please ensure that the words 'this issue' are added (in the list and text) to any references to other articles in this Special Issue.

Uncited references: References that occur in the reference list but not in the text – please position each reference in the text or delete it from the list.

Missing references: References listed below were noted in the text but are missing from the reference list – please make the list complete or remove the references from the text.

Location in article	Query / remark Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof					
Q1	Please provide author biography and photographs for Lopez de Vergara, J. Domzal, P. Cholda, and J.P. Fernandez Palacios.					
Q2	Please provide complete postal address for affiliations.					
Q3	Please check Telecommunication data.					

Electronic file usage

Sometimes we are unable to process the electronic file of your article and/or artwork. If this is the case, we have proceeded by:



Scanning (parts of) your article

Rekeying (parts of) your article



Scanning the artwork

Thank you for your assistance.

Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Computer Networks



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/comnet

A reliability analysis of Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment using 2 *p*-cycles for optical metro networks 3

4 g1 P.M. Santiago del Río^a, J.A. Hernández^{a,*}, J. Aracil^a, J.E. López de Vergara^a, J. Domżał^b, R. Wójcik^b, P. Chołda^b, K. Wajda^b, J.P. Fernández Palacios^c, Ó. González de Dios^c, R. Duque^c 5

6 **02** ^a Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. Spain

7 ^bAGH University of Science and Technology, Poland 8 ^c Telefónica I+D, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

9 22

1

- 12 Article history:
- 13 Available online xxxx
- 14 Keywords:
- 15 Network resilience
- 16 Availability
- 17 Survivability
- 18 Reliability
- 19 p-Cycles 20 DRDAs
- 21

ABSTRACT

23 The distribution of multicast traffic (e.g. IPTV or business point-to-multipoint) in the metropolitan environment requires highly resilient network infrastructures. Currently-24 deployed fibre ducts in the metropolitan area are typically based on ring topologies interconnected by the dual homing approach. In this study, an easy evolution towards meshed topologies is proposed, based on Double Rings with Dual Attachments (DRDA). This work analyses in detail the resilience capabilities of DRDAs and the two dual p-cycles defined over them. It is shown that, just by ensuring service repair rates of 12 h, large service availability values can be achieved (of the order of four to five-nines). Additionally, the amount 31 of backup capacity required to recover from link failures is further calculated in this paper. Furthermore, this work gives a mathematical framework or reference to all those network operators who are willing to deploy highly resilient metropolitan area networks at a moderate cost. The five-nines service availability degree is easily achieved provided sufficiently small service repair times (in the range of 12 h). Additionally, large service availability values are obtained with little extra backup capacity (about three-nines with only doubling 36 37 capacity).

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

25

26

27

28

29

30

32

33

34

35

38 39

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

40 1. Introduction 41

42 The research community is beginning to understand 43 that only new optical network technologies can satisfy 44 the ever-increasing user demands for bandwidth [1–3]. Indeed, recent experiments have shown line-rate capacities 45 46 of up to Tbits/s with DWDM optical networks [4–6]. Once 47 such bandwidth demands are satisfied with the help of optical switching, network operators must design resil-48 49 ience mechanisms on top of them to guarantee that end-50 users indeed perceive a substantial increase in the Quality 51 of Service offered. Failure tolerance has become a priority to network operators during the design of their Metropol-52 53 itan Area Networks.

1389-1286/\$ - see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2009.10.018

Clearly, the perception by end-users of a 10-Gbps optical network might be better than that of a 100-Gbps optical network, provided that the former shows a greater degree of service availability than the latter. Network operators often seek to guarantee the so-called five-nines service availability of their networks. That is, the network is at the users' disposal at least during 99.999% of the time. This is in fact a very challenging issue given that both link and node failures occur more often than expected, leading to continuous service disruption. One link failure per year for every 450 km of fibre length is typically assumed by the research community as a reasonable value [7].

In IP-based packet networks, the routing protocols are 66 capable of detecting link failures and distributing this 67 information to all nodes within a domain such that, after 68 some time, the routing tables in all nodes converge to 69 the optimal solution. However, this process is very slow 70

Q3 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 91 624 8459; fax: +34 91 624 8749. E-mail address: .

117

118

119

120

135

136

2 December 2009

P.M. Santiago del Río et al./Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

and may take several seconds (even minutes), which is
unacceptable for many delay-sensitive applications. It is
feasible to reduce such delay by making use of the existing
resilience techniques provided by the underlying optical
layers.

76 In light of this, the research community has devoted 77 great research effort to define mechanisms, algorithms, 78 protocols and techniques over the control plane that im-79 prove and even guarantee service availability and surviv-80 ability. These include, for instance, the classical 1 + 1, 1:1 and M:N protection techniques whereby two separated 81 82 (often link-disjoint) paths, the so-called primary and backup paths, are used for the transmission of critical data. 83

84 For instance, Resilient Packet Rings (RPRs) [8] comprise a very promising 1:1 protection-based resilience mecha-85 86 nism for ring topologies, whereby link failures are guaranteed to recover within 50 ms. In RPRs, all links in the ring 87 88 are full-duplex, allowing to switch data on the opposite direction when one link of the ring fails. A large number 89 of studies have analysed the properties and benefits of 90 RPRs, leading to the creation of the IEEE 802.17 Working 91 92 Group on attempts to summarise its behaviour onto a com-93 mon standard for Metropolitan 10 Gbit-Ethernet.

94 Over the past decade, an alternative to RPRs has been 95 proposed via the so-called preconfigured protection cycles (also referred to as *p*-cycles) [9]. Such *p*-cycles have the 96 97 advantages of common ring protection mechanisms (fast recovery time) and of meshed protection techniques (high 98 99 capacity efficiency). Such fast path recovery is achieved since the backup path is preconfigured before the link fail-100 ure actually occurs, just like RPRs. However, p-cycles are 101 preferable over other preconfigured mechanisms such as 102 RPRs given their high-redundancy structure and minimum 103 104 extra capacity requirements per link.

Concerning *p*-cycles, the literature has: defined them 105 106 [9], proposed a protocol for fast failure recovery [10] and probabilistically analysed their reliability properties [11], 107 108 among many other studies [12–14]. This study goes one step further defining the emerging **Double-Ring** topologies 109 110 with Dual Attachment (DRDAs) and studying the service availability and resilience properties of the two dual p-cy-111 cles defined over them. In such DRDAs, two different rings 112 113 (the inner and the outer rings) are interconnected via dual attachments such that every node in the inner ring is dou-114

ble linked to its associated node in the outer ring on attempts to increase the redundancy and protection properties of the whole topology. Over such topologies, two disjoint *p*-cycles with no link shared between them provide protection to all nodes in the DRDA in case of failure, thus strengthening its survivability properties.

This work is devoted to the study of the service avail-121 ability and design of such dual p-cycles on DRDA topolo-122 gies. To this end, the remainder of this work is organised 123 as follows: Section 2 gives a brief introduction to p-cycles 124 and formally overview DRDAs. Section 3 presents a de-125 tailed study of the different combinations of link failures 126 that may occur and provides the mathematical formulation 127 of the problem under study. Additionally, this section stud-128 ies the main availability metrics and minimum extra 129 capacity required per link with a set of numerical exam-130 ples. Section 4 proposes a set of experiments to numeri-131 cally show the benefits of DRDAs and the dual p-cycles 132 defined over them. Finally, Section 5 concludes this work 133 with a brief summary of the main findings obtained. 134

2. Problem statement

2.1. Review of p-cycles

According to the seminal study made by the authors in 137 [9], a preconfigured protection cycle (abbreviated as *p*-cy-138 cle) is defined as a set of links connected in a circular way 139 such that all links in the p-cycle have C units of spare 140 capacity that can be used to transport data in case of failure 141 (Fig. 1-a left). Additionally, Ref. [9] defines two different 142 types of failures that a p-cycle can recover from: the "on-143 cycle" span failure (Fig. 1-b middle) and the "straddling" 144 span failure (Fig. 1-c right). The former case refers to a link 145 that belongs to the *p*-cycle itself and can be recovered just 146 by switching its traffic on the opposite direction of the p-147 cycle. The latter type of a failure refers to a link that does 148 not belong to the *p*-cycle itself but can be recovered 149 through it since the link's edges are actually connected to 150 two-nodes of the *p*-cycle. Thus, for a generic network 151 topology, p-cycles provide protection not only to all its link 152 members, but also to all those spans which are connected 153 to them, bringing high-redundancy and great levels of 154 protection. 155



Fig. 1. Example of a *p*-cycle defined over a generic network topology. (a) The *p*-cycle itself; (b) an on-cycle link failure; and (c) a straddling link failure.

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

205

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

Designing *p*-cycles involves two stages: (1) deciding 156 157 which links among the total comprise the *p*-cycle; and 158 (2) defining the spare capacity units that all links on the 159 *p*-cycle dedicate for failure provisioning. Concerning the 160 latter, it is worth remarking that every straddling span fail-161 ure consumes C/2 of extra capacity in a *p*-cycle, whereas 162 on-cycle failures demand C units of protected capacity, as 163 noted from Fig. 1. Additionally, a given *p*-cycle provides 164 two separate paths for recovering from a straddling span 165 failure.

The next section introduces Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment and the two dual *p*-cycles defined over them.

169 2.2. Definition of DRDAs and failure recovery

170 As formerly proposed in [15], a Double-Ring topology 171 with Dual Attachment (DRDA in what follows) comprises 172 two bi-directional rings of the same size (same number 173 of links), one is called the inner ring and the other is re-174 ferred to as the outer ring. Moreover, such double rings 175 are interconnected in a way such that each node in the outer ring is attached with its associated node in the inner ring 176 177 via a dual bi-directional link. The total number of nodes k in the DRDA topology determine the size and properties 178 179 of the DRDA. For instance, Fig. 2 shows a DRDA with k = 8 nodes, therefore with 2k = 16 links in it, each of 180 181 them subject to faults. The reader will easily note that both the inner and outer rings must be of the same number of 182 nodes and, such a number must be even, otherwise it is 183 184 not possible to build the *p*-cycles (see Fig. 3).

As noted, the two dual *p*-cycles traverse all nodes in the topology providing full connectivity between any two-



Fig. 2. Example of an 8-DRDA network topology.



Fig. 3. The two *p*-cycles on an 8-DRDA network topology.

nodes in the DRDA. Also, the two *p*-cycles are link-disjoint, that is, no link is shared between them. These two features of DRDAs are expected to provide high levels of protection against link failures. In fact, such a redundancy level is observed from the fact that every link failure in the DRDA can be treated (and further recovered) as either an on-cycle failure on its actual *p*-cycle, or as a straddling span failure from the viewpoint of its dual *p*-cycle. Such resilience capabilities are studied in the next section.

Before that, it is necessary to make clear that such two 196 link-disjoint *p*-cycles require three very particular condi-197 tions to be met: (1) The metro network must be comprised 198 of two bi-directional rings of the same number of nodes; 199 (2) every node in the inner ring must be connected with 200 its associated node in the outer ring via two links, and 201 (3) the number of nodes in the inner and outer ring must 202 be even. As long as these three conditions are met, it is al-203 ways possible to define the two link-disjoint *p*-cycles. 204

3. Reliability analysis of dual *p*-cycles in DRDAs

This section is devoted to the study of the so-called all-206 terminal reliability of DRDAs, which is related to the prob-207 ability to have all nodes interconnected in any possible 208 way. In what follows, disconnection refers to the case 209 where a given node is isolated from the rest since none 210 of the two *p*-cycles can recover from the link failures that 211 occurred. Finally, each link in the DRDA is assumed to car-212 ry, at most, C units of traffic under normal activity and link 213 failures occur independently. The number of failures that a 214 DRDA can recover from, and the amount of protection 215 (backup) capacity required to do so is studied below. 216

3.1.	Single failure recovery	217
------	-------------------------	-----

This section considers three types of span failures which 218 219 can be recovered by the two p-cycles: full-straddling, semistraddling and on-cycle span failures. Remark that the two 220 *p*-cycles are link-disjoint and, at the same time, traverse 221 (thus protect) all nodes in the topology. For this reason, 222 every link failure can be recovered by either its actual p-cy-223 cle (the *p*-cycle which contains the failing link) or its dual 224 *p*-cycle (the other one, which does not contain the failing 225 link but protects its connecting nodes), as explained 226 below: 227

- *Full-straddling span failure (F–S)*: In this case, a span failure is recovered by the link's dual *p*-cycle, over the two different paths defined on it (see Fig. 4-a top). To recover the *C* units of capacity carried by the failing link, the two paths on the dual *p*-cycle are required to provide *C*/2 of protection capacity each.
- Semi-straddling span failure (S–S): In this second case, a failure is recovered again by its dual *p*-cycle, but this time it is done by only the shortest path among the two possible ones defined by the *p*-cycle (see Fig. 4-b middle). This case requires the backup path defined over the *p*-cycle to have *C* units of extra capacity.
- On-cycle span failure (O-C): In this final case, a span failure is recovered by its actual *p*-cycle, that is, by the same

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.M. Santiago del Río et al./Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



(c) On-cycle

Fig. 4. The three possible strategies to recover from the failure on the figure's left-hand side *p*-cycle: full-straddling (top, a); semi-straddling (middle, b); and on-cycle (bottom, c).

p-cycle which contains the link that happened to fail
(see Fig. 4-c bottom). To recover from this failure, *C* units
of extra capacity are required to transport the data on
the opposite direction of the link's actual *p*-cycle.

245 The opposite direction of the mix's actual p-cycle.
246
247 In general, link failures can be recovered following any

of the three strategies defined above. However, DRDAs should use the policy that requires the least amount of protection capacity, in order to provide spare capacity to recover from future link failures, if these ever happen to occur. Such a recovery policy depends on the actual number of failures and their location on the *p*-cycles at a given time. This is analysed next.

255 3.2. Multiple link failure recovery

Let (n:m) denote the state in terms of the number of link 256 257 failures of a k-DRDA topology at a given time. Here n and m refer to the number of link failures on the two p-cycles 258 respectively defined in the k-node DRDA, with 259 260 $0 \leq n, m \leq k$ since each *p*-cycle contains *k* links. The fol-261 lowing lists all possible states concerning multiple link 262 failures that may occur, along with the amount of spare 263 capacity required for backup purposes C_b in order to en-264 sure all-node connectivity:

- (*n:0*): This state considers *n* span failures that occurred on one *p*-cycle, but no failures occurred on its dual *p*cycle, where $1 \le n \le k$ (see Fig. 5-a top-left). All such failures on the same *p*-cycle are thus recovered on its dual *p*-cycle following a Full-Straddling strategy. This state thus consumes $C_b = nC/2$ of extra capacity on the dual *p*-cycle to recover from all failures.
- (1:1): This state considers two link failures, one on each p-cycle. In this case, the two link failures are recovered on their dual p-cycles, following a Semi-Straddling strategy (see Fig. 5-b top-right). This state requires at least $C_b = C$ units of extra capacity on each p-cycle.
- (*n*:1): In this state, one *p*-cycle has suffered *n* link failures, with $2 \le n \le k$, and its dual *p*-cycle only one link failure. Any failure on the first *p*-cycle can be recovered in its dual one by means of only one path, that is, following a Semi-Straddling recovery mechanism (see Fig. 5-c bottom-left). The single failure on the second *p*-cycle is recovered over it following an on-cycle policy. Therefore, this state may require an amount of backup capacity of, at least, $C_b = (n + 1)C$ to recover from all link failures.
- (m:n): When m, n ≥ 2, this case leads to disconnection, that is, the all-terminal connectivity between any two pair of nodes is not guaranteed regardless of the amount

ds to disconnection, 287 y between any two 288 rdless of the amount 289

Please cite this article in press as: P.M. Santiago del Río et al., A reliability analysis of Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment using *p*-cycles for optical metro networks, Comput. Netw. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2009.10.018

265

266



331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345



Fig. 5. Multiple link failure cases: state (2:0) (top-left, a); state (1:1) (top-right, b); state (3:1) (bottom-left, c) and state (2:2) (bottom-right, d).

of backup capacity provisioned (see Fig. 5-d bottom right).

A summary of these cases, the amount of backup capacity required and the recovery strategy used in each case are
given in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, all cases of multiple link failures can be recovered just by defining the appropriate recovery policy with sufficient spare capacity. Only the state (*m:n*) with $m, n \ge 2$ brings a disconnection situation to the DRDA regardless of the amount of extra capacity provided by the two dual *p*-cycles.

302 It is worth noting that the amount of backup capacity required for each multiple link failure case shown in Table 303 304 1 refers to worst possible cases. For instance, some (m:1)305 failure cases may be solved with less than $C_b = (m + 1)C$. 306 However, in order to reduce the number of states and sim-307 plify the forthcoming Markov Chain analysis, Table 1 308 shows only the worst possible cases such that, if the value 309 of C_b is guaranteed, then the strategy is capable of recovering from any particular failure combination of links for a 310 311 given case. The next section studies the disconnection probability distribution making use of such a simplified 312 Continuous Time Markov Chain (CTMC). 313

314 3.3. CTMC modelling and solution

The following analysis assumes that links in the DRDA happen to fail independently from one another. Additionally, link failures occur with a memoryless nature, that is,

Table 1

Combinations of multiple link failures, recovery strategy and backup capacity required.

Failure case	Recovery strategy	Necessary C_b
(n:0) with $1 \le n \le k$	n F–S	nC/2
(1:1)	2S–S	C
(m:1) with $2 \le m \le k$	m S–S + 1 0–C	(m+1)C
(m:n) with $m, n \ge 2$	Not possible	Disconnection

the inter-failure times are exponentially distributed with 318 rate λ failures per unit of time. The value of λ^{-1} shall be re-319 ferred to as the Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF). Also, 320 links are assumed to be repaired by the network operator 321 following again an exponential distribution with rate μ re-322 paired links per unit of time. Now, μ^{-1} is referred to as the 323 Mean Time To Repair (MTTR). The main goal of this study is 324 to find the Time To Disconnection (TTD) probability distri-325 bution function of a generic k-DRDA topology and derive 326 its Mean Time To Disconnection (MTTD) average value, gi-327 ven an observed average link failure value of MTBF and 328 provided that the network operator can guarantee a cer-329 tain average link repair time given by its MTTR value. 330

To simplify the model, no more than four link failures are assumed to occur simultaneously. Indeed, the probability to have more than four link failures simultaneously is less than 10^{-6} for MTBF = 60 days and traditional MTTR values of hours and days.

With these assumptions, a given k-node DRDA can be easily characterised and analysed with the nine-state Reliability CTMC shown in Fig. 6, which reads as follows: The generic state (*m:n*) gives the number of failures on the two *p*-cycles, together with the required units of backup capacity C_b for that state. For instance, the state labeled (2:1) means that two of the *k* links of one *p*-cycle have failed together with one of the *k* links of its dual *p*-cycle. In such a case, 3*C* additional units of backup capacity are required.

The diagram in Fig. 6 also gives the transition probabil-346 ities between states. For instance, transition from state 347 (0:0) to state (1:0) occurs with rate $2k\lambda$, since this transi-348 tion occurs when any of the 2k links of the two p-cycles 349 fails. Transition back to state (0:0) occurs with rate $\mu_{\rm r}$ 350 which refers to the rate at which such a failure is fixed. 351 For instance, transition from state (1:0) to state (1:1) oc-352 curs with rate $k\lambda$ (any of the k links of the dual p-cycle 353 fails), whereas the transition rate to state (2:0) is $(k-1)\lambda$ 354 (any of the k - 1 remaining links of the current *p*-cycle 355 fails). Again, transition back from state (2:1) to state 356 (2:0) occurs with rate 1μ which refers to the fact that the 357

2 December 2009

6





Fig. 6. The 9-state reliability Markov model for a generic k-DRDA.

 Table 2

 k-Node DRDA transition matrix G. The empty gaps refer to zeroes.

State	(0:0)	(1:0)	(1:1)	(2:0)	(2:1)	(3:0)	(4:0)	(3:1)	(2:2)
(0:0)	$-2k\lambda$	2 kλ							
(1:0)	1μ	$-1\mu - (2k-1)\lambda$	kλ		$(k-1)\lambda$				
(1:1)		2μ	$-2\mu - 2(k-1)\lambda$		$2(k-1)\lambda$				
(2:0)		2μ		$-2\mu - (2k-2)\lambda$	kλ	$(k-2)\lambda$			
(2:1)			2μ	1μ	$-3\mu - (2k - 3)\lambda$			$(k-2)\lambda$	$(k-1)\lambda$
(3:0)				3μ		$-3\mu - (2k - 3)\lambda$	$(k-3)\lambda$	kλ	
(4:0)						4μ	-4μ		
(3:1)					3μ	1μ		-4μ	
(2:2)									

failure on the dual *p*-cycle is recovered. The same reasoning applies to the rest of state transition rates. Finally, the state (2:2) is absorbing in the CTMC (there is no transition from this state to any other) and, when reached, this state implies that some nodes in the DRDA are isolated (disconnection state).

To compute the Time To Disconnection probability distribution from initial state (0:0), it is just required to choose the entry ((0:0),(2:2)) in the matrix $A(t) = e^{-Gt}$ where A(t) gives the distribution function of the passage time between any two states of the CTMC within the time interval [0, *t*], and matrix *G* is the transition probability matrix for this chain defined by Table 2.

The use of algebraic software, such as Derive or Mathematica, can be used to derive exact analytical expressions of the MTTD as a function of k, μ and λ .

Finally, Fig. 7 shows the Availability Markov model which is the same diagram as the Reliability Markov Model depicted in Fig. 6 but with state transition back from (2:2) to (2:1). This model makes it possible to compute the stationary probability distribution of all states, therefore, the amount of backup capacity required by the DRDA on average per unit of time, as shown throughout the experiments section.

Deriving such stationary probabilities of states requires solving the linear equation system (see [16], chapter 5 and [17], chapter 4):

$$\Pi_i q_i = \sum_{i \in S} q_{ij} \Pi_j \quad \text{for } i \in S, \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{j\in\mathcal{S}}\Pi_j = 1,\tag{2}$$

where Π_i is the stationary probability distribution of state i, S is the state space, q_{ij} is the transition probability from the state i to the state j and $q_i = \sum_{i \in S} q_{ii}$.

3.4. Recovery strategies

Concerning recovery strategies, the Availability Markov391Model of Fig. 7 gives the amount of backup capacity required on each failure state, together with appropriate392

Please cite this article in press as: P.M. Santiago del Río et al., A reliability analysis of Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment using *p*-cycles for optical metro networks, Comput. Netw. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2009.10.018

383

384

386

387

388

389

7





Fig. 7. The 9-state availability Markov model for a generic *k*-DRDA.



Fig. 8. Recovery strategy transition: State (1:0) (top, a); state (1:1) (bottom, b).

recovery policy that must be followed. For instance, starting on state (0:0), the first link failure is recovered with the
Full-Straddling policy on its dual *p*-cycle. Subsequent link
failures on the same *p*-cycle as the original failure are also
recovered on the dual *p*-cycle following the Full-Straddling

policy, since this is the cheapest solution (less amount of required backup capacity).

However, if a second link failure occurs on the dual pcycle as the original failure, then the recovery strategy must switch to two Semi-Straddling recovery paths. 403

Please cite this article in press as: P.M. Santiago del Río et al., A reliability analysis of Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment using *p*-cycles for optical metro networks, Comput. Netw. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2009.10.018

2 December 2009

P.M. Santiago del Río et al./Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

Clearly, this seems to require re-configuration of the recov-404 ery policies since the original Full-Straddling path seems 405 no longer necessary. However, as noted from Fig. 8, this 406 407 is not the case: the original Full-Straddling path becomes 408 a Semi-Straddling backup path, that is, it carries the total capacity of the two Full-Straddling paths, plus a new 409 410 Semi-Straddling path needs to be created on the dual p-cy-411 cle to recover from the second link failure.

412 This obviously needs real-time re-configuration of pro-413 tection strategies. Such reconfiguration must consider only two cases: The case of a second link failure on either 414 $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ (See Fig. 8) requires Full-Straddling f_{s_1} to di-415 vert its traffic to fs_2 ; and the case of a second link failure 416 417 on either $\{f, g, h\}$ (See Fig. 8) requires Full-Straddling f_{s_2} to divert its traffic to f_{s_1} . The re-configuration of such few 418 cases can be calculated in the time between two link fail-419 ures, provided that the time in between two consecutive 420 421 link failures is sufficiently large. Indeed, the probability of having more than two link failures within one minute 422 is less than 10^{-5} for MTBF ≥ 30 days. 423

The same reasoning applies to the case of switching from state (1:1) to state (2:1) which requires changing recovery policies from two S–S backup paths to two S–S and one O–C backup paths (see Table 1).

4. Numerical examples

This section provides a set of numerical examples to 429 show the applicability of the equations derived in previous 430 sections. Basically, these include the study of DRDA topol-431 ogies with: (a) different MTBF and MTTR values, and (b) 432 different topology sizes. Moreover, it is shown that a net-433 work operator must guarantee the appropriate MTTR in or-434 der to assure a given service-time availability for different 435 observed MTBF values. Finally, it is studied how much 436 backup capacity is necessary to provide in order for a net-437 work operator to guarantee a given service availability 438 level. 439

4.1. Comparison for different MTBF and MTTR values

Fig. 9 shows the Time To Disconnection survival distri-bution function as a function of time (in days) assuming441different MTBF and MTTR values. The values considered443are: MTBF = {15, 30, 60, 180} days, that is, one failure444every 15 days, etc.; and average service repair times of445MTTR = {1/4, 1/2, 1, 2, 7} days. The worst possible case is446that of failures occurring every 15 days and average service447



Fig. 9. Time to disconnection probability for different combinations of MTTR and MTBF.

Please cite this article in press as: P.M. Santiago del Río et al., A reliability analysis of Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment using *p*-cycles for optical metro networks, Comput. Netw. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2009.10.018

428

479

480

481

482

483

484

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

repair time of 7 days. For instance, taking the value t = 360days as a reference and service repair times of MTTR = 1 day, the probability to have the service available for more than t = 360 days (1 year) equals: 1.596×10^{-10} (almost impossible) when MTBF = 15 days, 0.011 when MTBF = 30 (one failure every month), 0.796 when MTBF = 90 and finally 0.970 when MTBF = 180.

455 Clearly, as can be seen from Fig. 9, the larger MTBF, the
456 higher probability there is of one year of full-service
457 availability.

458 4.2. Design of repair strategies

Concerning designing purposes, it is important to find 459 the MTTR that a network operator must be compromised 460 to in order to guarantee a given disconnection probability 461 462 over a period of time of one year (360 days), assuming 463 the network has been observed to suffer one failure every MTBF. In light of this, Fig. 10 answers this question: it 464 465 shows the probability to have disconnection over one 466 month (30 days), over one half year (180 days) and over 467 one year (360 days) considering MTBF = 60 days and different values of MTTR. 468

Fig. 11 shows the Mean Time To Disconnection (MTTD) 469 470 for different MTTR and MTBF cases. The MTTD represents the average time required to move from the original (0:0) 471 472 state with no link failures to the disconnection state in the Reliability Markov Chain. This gives an idea of what 473 requirements (in terms of MTTR) should a network opera-474 475 tor demand from its service repair department in order to 476 achieve a given MTTD. For instance, if a given DRDA is observed to suffer one failure every MTBF = 60 days, a 477 478 department which fixes one failure within MTTR = 1/2

day (12 h) on average would give disconnection in MTTD = 1933 days on average, whereas if the same department guarantees MTTR = 1 day to fix a link failure, that would lead to MTTD = 577 days of MTTD.

In terms of service availability, Fig. 12 shows the *Service Time Unavailability* (STU), computed as:

$$STU = \Pi_{(2:2)}.$$
 (3) 486

That is, STU represents the average proportion of time in which the network is not available. For instance, if a given DRDA is observed to suffer one failure every MTBF = 180 days and the network operator guarantees an average repair time MTTR in the range of 1 day or below, the target five-nines availability is provided.

Next section aims to compare the resilience capabilities of DRDAs in terms of its size.

4.3. Comparison between topology sizes

Fig. 13 shows the MTTD values for different *k*-size DRDAs. First of all, there is a decreasing trend of MTTD with respect to *k* since the more number of links (remark that a *k*-DRDA contains 2k links) in the topology, the more subject to failure this is. For instance, an 8-DRDA shows MTTD = 1933 days (MTBF = 60 days and MTTR = 1/2 day), whereas an 32-DRDA gives a much smaller MTTD = 35 days (less resilient).

Additionally, decreasing the MTTR has a clear impact on the MTTD, regardless of the topology size k, as shown in the previous case.

In conclusion, DRDAs are shown to provide high resilience capabilities, but these decrease with its size k (i.e. number of links subject to failures). The network operator 509



Fig. 10. Time to disconnection probability for different guaranteed MTTRs by the network operator.

2 December 2009 10

P.M. Santiago del Río et al./Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



Fig. 11. Mean time to disconnection for different combinations of MTTR and MTBF.



Fig. 12. Time service unavailability for different combinations of MTTR and MTBF.

must take care of this aspect when designing a given DRDA
to cover a certain metropolitan area. Furthermore, when
new nodes are included in the inner and outer rings to cover new neighbourhoods, the network operator must be
aware that the total service availability gets reduced and

must provide faster service repair times (reduce MTTR).515This statement is confirmed in [11]. The *p*-cycles provide516good resilience properties for MANs, but should not be517used as a resilience mechanism for WANs, unless an out-518standing MTTR value is guaranteed.519

COMPNW 4137 2 December 2009

ARTICLE IN PRESS P.M. Santiago del Río et al. / Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

11

520 4.4. Network dimensioning

521 The next experiment aims to provide a means to provi-522 sioning backup capacity in the DRDA. As stated above, each failure state ((0:0), (n:0), etc) is recovered following a cer-523tain strategy (F-S, S-S, O-C) and such a recovery strategy524demands a certain amount of backup capacity. For in-525stance, C/2 extra/backup capacity is always required526



Fig. 13. Mean time to disconnection for different size of topology with different values of MTTR and MTBF = 60 days.



Fig. 14. Network saturation for different additional capacities with different values of MTTR and MTBF = 60 days.

527 whenever a single failure occurs (1:0) (see Fig. 7). How-528 ever, C/2 backup capacity does not suffice if another failure 529 occurs on either *p*-cycle. Such a case (states (1:1) and (2:0)) 530 demands *C* units of backup capacity. Finally, other failure 531 combination cases (or states) demand 3C/2, 2C, 3C and 532 4C of backup capacity for full-service recovery. No more 533 than this quantity is required under the assumption of 4 534 link failures at most. Other than that is considered as 535 disconnection.

536 Fig. 14 gives the stationary probability distribution of the cases that demand backup capacity: $C_b = \{0, C/2, C, c, c\}$ 537 $\frac{3C}{2}, \frac{2C}{3}, \frac{3C}{4}$. This gives an idea of the average portion 538 of time over which the network is using such a capacity as 539 540 backup. For instance, if only $C_b = 2C$ of backup capacity is provided, then there is network disconnection as soon as 541 542 the states (2:1), (3:1) and (2:2) are reached, since there is not enough backup capacity to recover from such failures. 543 544 Interestingly, $C_b = 1C$ provides service availability almost the same amount of time as if $C_b = 2C$, since the states 545 (3:0) and (4:0), which require $C_b = 3C/2$ and $C_b = 2C_b$ 546 respectively, occur more rarely than state (2:0) and (1:1) 547 548 which require $C_b = C$. Essentially, the provisioning of too 549 much extra backup capacity does not guarantee a much 550 larger service availability level. There is a significant ser-551 vice availability improvement only if $C_h = 3C$ and $C_{\rm h} = 4C$. For instance, assuming MTBF = 60 days and 552 MTTR = 1/4 days (6 h), if the network operator guarantees 553 an extra capacity of $C_b = 1C$, a saturation probability of 554 1.28×10^{-3} is obtained, whereas if $C_b = 4C$ is guaranteed, 555 the five-nine service availability is provided (the saturation 556 probability equals 9.17×10^{-6}). 557

558 **5. Summary and conclusions**

559 This work introduces **Double-Ring** topologies with Dual Attachment (DRDA) and studies their resilience capabili-560 561 ties against link failures. This topology comprises two bidirectional rings of the same size, namely the inner and 562 the outer ring, whereby each node in the inner ring is con-563 nected with its associated node in the outer ring via dual-564 attachment, thus leading to a highly-redundant topology 565 566 configuration. Such a solution is particularly useful when each pair of nodes (inner node and its dual attached outer 567 node) are physically close, and the cost of connecting both 568 nodes via dual-attachment is small. This is the case for 569 570 most metropolitan area network of big cities.

571 Such resilience capabilities are modelled by a Continuous Time Markov Chain which, after solving, provides a 572 useful insight in: (1) The repair times that a network oper-573 ator must guarantee to achieve a given service availability; 574 (2) the service availability provided by different size DRDA 575 576 topologies and their implications in adding new nodes in the inner and outer rings; and, (3) the service availability 577 578 provided with respect to the amount of backup capacity 579 dedicated to recover from failures.

For instance, in order to achieve the five-nines service availability level (99.999% of the time) it is necessary to provide MTTR smaller than twelve hour to provide for typical MTBF values in the range of 15–60 days. For the same values, only the provisioning of $C_b = C$ backup capacity gives service unavailability of 10^{-3} , whereas $C_b = 4C$ guarantees the five-nine service availability. Easy rules like these can be obtained from the CTMC model and the figures depicted in the numerical examples.

This information is of special interest to provide multicast services which demand full-time any-to-any connectivity, such as the distribution of IPTV services. In such applications, a root node serves IPTV content to all other nodes in the topology, which further distribute this signal to a number of DSLAMs connected with it. In such a case, the isolation of a single node translates to thousands of users without IPTV service, which is unacceptable for most network operators.

Acknowledgments

The work described in this paper was carried out with the support of the BONE project ("Building the Future Optical Network in Europe"), a Network of Excellence funded by the European Commission through the 7th ICT-Framework Programme. This work was also supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education under grant N517 013 32/2131.

The authors would like to express their gratitude towards Prof. Andrzej Jajszczyk for his valuable insights which helped to write this article.

References

- [1] S. Yao, S.J.B. Yoo, B. Mukherjee, S. Dixit, All-optical packet switching for metropolitan area networks, IEEE Commun. Mag. 39 (3) (2001) 142–148.
- [2] A. Jourdan, D. Chiaroni, E. Dorato, G.J. Eilenberger, F. Masetti, M. Renaud, The perspective of optical packet switching in IP dominant backbone and metropolitan networks, IEEE Commun. Mag. 39 (3) (2001) 136–141.
- [3] J. Finochietto, J. Aracil, A. Ferreiro, J.P. Fernández-Palacios, O. González de Dios, Migration strategies towards all optical metropolitan access rings, IEEE/OSA J. Lightwave Technol. 25 (8) (2007) 1918–1930.
- [4] Y. Miyamoto, K. Yonenaga, A. Hirano, N. Shimizu, M. Yoneyama, H. Takara, K. Noguchi, K. Tsuzuki, 1.04-Tbit/s DWDM transmission experiment based on alternate-polarization 80-Gbit/s OTDM signals, in: Proceedings of the European Conference on Optical Communication, vol. 3, 1998, pp. 53–57.
- [5] S. Verma, H. Chaskar, R. Ravikanth, Optical burst switching: a viable solution for Terabit IP backbone, IEEE Network (2000) 48–53 (Nov.– Dec.).
- [6] J. Yu, M.-F. Huang, Y. Shao, T. Wang, P. Magill, M. Cvijetic, L. Nelson, M. Birk, G. Zhang, S.Y. Ten, H.B. Matthew, S.K. Mishra, 32Tb/s (320 × 114 Gb/s) PDM-RZ-8QAM transmission over 580km of SMF-28 ultra-low-loss fiber, in: Proceedings of the Optical Fiber Communication Conference and Exposition National Fiber Optic Engineers Conference (OFC/NFOEC), San Diego, CA, USA, 2009.
- [7] S.D. Maesschalck, D. Colle, I. Lievens, M. Pickavet, P. Demeester, C. Mauz, M. Jaeger, R. Inkret, B. Mikac, J. Derkacz, Pan-european optical transport networks: an availability-based comparison, Photon. Network Commun. 5 (3) (2003) 223–225.
- [8] J. Domzal, K. Wajda, S. Spadaro, J. Solé-Pareta, D. Careglio, Recovery, fairness and congestion control mechanisms in RPR networks, in: 12th Polish Teletraffic Symposium (PSRT), Poznan, Poland, 2005.
- [9] W. Grover, D. Stamatelakis, Cycle-oriented distributed preconfiguration: ring-like speed with mesh-like capacity for selfplanning network restoration, in: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference Communications (ICC'98), 1998, pp. 537– 543.
- [10] D. Schupke, Automatic protection switching for p-cycles in WDM networks, Opt. Switching Networking (OSN) 2 (1) (2005) 35–48.
- [11] P. Cholda, A. Jajszczyk, Reliability assessment of optical *p*-cycles, IEEE/ACM Trans. Networking 15 (6) (2007) 1579–1592.

Please cite this article in press as: P.M. Santiago del Río et al., A reliability analysis of Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment using *p*-cycles for optical metro networks, Comput. Netw. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2009.10.018

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

689

705

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

702

723

724

725

ARTICLE IN PRESS P.M. Santiago del Río et al./Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

13

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741 727

742

745

746

747

748

749

- 651 [12] H. Drid, B. Cousin, S. Lahoud, M. Molnar, Multi-criteria p-cycle 652 network design, in: 33rd IEEE Conference on Local Computer 653 Networks 654
 - [13] M. Clouqueur, W.D. Grover, Availability analysis and enhanced availability design in p-cycle-based networks, Photon. Networking Commun. 10 (1) (2005) 55-71.
 - [14] G. Shen, W.D. Grover, Extending the *p*-cycle concept to path segment protection for span and node failure recovery, IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun. 21 (8) (2003) 1306–1319.
 - [15] A. Ferreiro, J. Derkacz, F. Dorgeuille, R. Huelsermann, M. Quagliotti, S. Verbrugge, Techno-economic benchmarking of NOBEL solutions for end-to-end broadband communications, in: Proceedings of the 12th European Conference on Networks and Optical Communications (NOC'07), Stockolm, Sweeden, 2007, pp. 352-359.
 - [16] S.M. Ross, Stochastic Processes, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, second ed., John Wiley and Sons, 1996.
 - [17] O.C. Ibe, Markov Processes for Stochastic Modeling, Elsevier Science and Technology Books, Academic Press, 2009.



Pedro María Santiago del Río received the M.Sc. degree in Mathematics and Computer Science from Universidad Autónoma de Madrid in 2008. Before that, he joined the Networking Research Group at the Computer Science Department of UAM, where he has been participating in several European Union research projects (e-Photon One plus, BONE, OneLab2) and in the national research project DIOR. In 2009, he was awarded with a fouryear fellowship by the Ministry of Education of Spain (F.P.U scholarship). His research

interests are focused on the analysis of network traffic, optical networks, mathematical modeling, performance evaluation of communications networks, probability theory and statistics.



José Alberto Hernández completed the fiveyear degree in Telecommunications Engineering at Universidad Carlos III de Madrid (Madrid, Spain) in 2002, and the Ph.D. degree in Computer Science at Loughborough University (Leics, United Kingdom) in 2005. After this, he joined the Networking Research Group at Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (Spain), where he actively participates in a number of both national and european research projects concerning the modeling and performance evaluation of communica-

tion networks, and particularly the optical burst switching technology. His research interests include the areas at which mathematical modeling and computer networks overlap.



Javier Aracil received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees (Honors) from Technical University of Madrid in 1993 and 1995, both in Telecommunications Engineering. In 1995 he was awarded with a Fulbright scholarship and was appointed as a Postdoctoral Researcher of the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley. In 1998 he was a research scholar at the Center for Advanced Telecommunications, Systems and Services of The University of Texas at Dallas. He has been an associate

professor for University of Cantabria and Public University of Navarra and he is currently a full professor at Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. His research interest are in optical networks and performance evaluation of communication networks. He has authored more than 50 papers in international conferences and journals.



Jorge E. López de Vergara (jorge.lopez_vergara@uam.es) is currently an associate professor in the Computer Science Department of the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. He received his M.Sc. degree in telecommunications from the Technical University of Madrid in 1998 and finished his Ph.D. in telematics engineering at the same university in 2003, where he held a research grant. He has participated in several Spanish and EU research projects, and has authored more than 50 papers in international conferences and jour-

ment and monitoring.



Jerzy Domżał received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in Telecommunications from AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakw, Poland in 2003 and 2009, respectively, Now, he continues his work at Department of Telecommunications at AGH University of Science and Technology. He is especially interested in optical networks and services for future Internet. He is involved in EU Projects: SmoothIT, BONE and EuroNF. He is a coauthor of many technical papers.



Robert Wójcik received the M.S. degree in telecommunications from AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków, Poland in 2006. Now, he is a Ph.D. student at AGH University. He is the co-author of 8 technical papers. He is involved in several international scientific projects: SmoothIT, NoE BONE and Euro-NF. His research interests focus on Quality of Service, Flow-Aware Networking and Overlay networks.



> 758 769

> > 772

773 774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

768



Krzysztof Wajda received his M.Sc. In Telecommunications from UKR in 1982 and Ph. D. (thesis title): Adaptive routing rules in circuit-Switched networks with SPC exchanges) in 1990. In 1982 he joined UKR where he was responsible for laboratory of switching technology. During period 1991-1993 he was granted a Monbusho (Japanese Ministry of Education) scholarship in Osaka University and Kyoto University. In 1996 he spent 6 months of sabbatical leave in CNET (France) working on Adaptive Connection Admission

Control (CAC) in ATM networks. He was involved in few international projects: COST 242, Copernicus ISMAN, ACTS 038 BBL, TEMPUS JEP No. 0971 and was granted TEMPUS individual grant IMG-96-PL-2057. Currently he is involved in two international projects: FP6-506760 NOBEL and FP6-2002-IST-1/001933 ePhoton/One. He participated also in a few grants supported by National Science Foundation (in 2 was involved as a project leader). He serves also as a reviewer of few journals: elecommunications Systems, Performance Evaluation, Computer Networks and ISDN Systems and international conferences. He has been a consultant to private telecommunication companies and Polish Telecom. Main research interests: traffic management for broadband networks, multimedia ser-



2 December 2009

14

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Please cite this article in press as: P.M. Santiago del Río et al., A reliability analysis of Double-Ring topologies with Dual Attachment using

p-cycles for optical metro networks, Comput. Netw. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.comnet.2009.10.018

P.M. Santiago del Río et al. / Computer Networks xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

vices, architecture and implementation of ATM networks, performance evaluations of fast packet networks, internetworking. Dr Wajda is the author (or coauthor) of 5 books (in Polish) and over 70 technical papers.

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

899

816 819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832 818

795



Juan Pedro Fernández-Palacios Giménez. He graduated with a degree of Telecommunications Engineering from Polytechnic University of Valencia where he carried out his final project working on the simulation of wavelength converters. In September of 2000 he joined TIDSA where he has been working on the analysis and evaluation of optical technologies, likewise he has participated in European projects such as Eurescom P1014 TWIN and IST projects (DAVID, NOBEL, etc.) as well as other internal projects related to the

development of optical networks in the Telefónica Group. Currently, he is Project Manager in the Division of Network Planning and Techno-Economic Evaluation of TIDSA.



Óscar González de Dios received his Masters Degree from the University of Valladolid in 2000 in Telecommunications Engineering. In 2000 he joined Telefónica I+D, where he worked for several years in the development and testing of telephony applications and interactive voice-response platforms. In 2005 he joined the Advanced Network Planning department in Telefónica I+D, where he has been working in the analysis and performance evaluation of optical networks. He has participated several R+D European projects, like

IST NOBEL (I and II), e-Photon/One+, BONE and AGAVE. He has coordinated the CELTIC project BANITS 2. He is currently involved in internal innovation projects for the Telefónica group regarding optical network planning and optical network analysis.

833 834 835

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851 832

853



Raúl Duque completed the five-year degree in Telecommunications Engineering at Universidad Carlos III de Madrid (Madrid, Spain) in 2007. In 2006, he joined the New Network Technologies Division at Telefónica I+D where he developed his degree dissertation about a new network resource management mechanism based on the Nominal Route concept. He is currently working in the Photonic Network Division at Telefónica I = D, where he is participating in internal research projects for the Telefónica group. His research interests

include network performance, optical network planning, resilience techniques, multicast transport algorithms for IPTV and access control strategies.